

MINUTES OF THE 39<sup>TH</sup> MEETING

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES HOUSING FOUNDATION  
CHAIRMANED B-KILLAT SECRETARIAT

F.No.7(1)/94-HF(C)

Islamabad, the 31<sup>st</sup> March, 1994.

Subject:- MINUTES OF THE 39TH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE  
COMMITTEE HELD ON 16TH FEBRUARY, 1994.

The 39th meeting of the Executive Committee of the Board of Governors of the Federal Government Employees Housing Foundation was held on Wednesday, the 16th February, 1994 at 10.00 A.M, in the Committee Room of the Works Division under the Chairmanship of the Secretary, Ministry of Housing & Works.

2. Minutes of the above mentioned meeting are forwarded herewith for information.

( Imtiaz Ahmad Khan )  
Director (Finance & Administration)

1. PS to Secretary,  
M/O Housing & Works, Islamabad.
2. Mr. Abdul Rashid Khan Baloch,  
Joint Secretary(Works),  
Islamabad.
3. Mr. Muhammad Rafique Ahmed,  
Director General,  
Pakistan Public Works Department, Islamabad.
4. Mr. Mohammad Aslam,  
Joint Secretary,  
Cabinet Division, Islamabad.
5. Mr. Yawar Zia,  
Financial Advisor(Works),  
Works Division, Islamabad.
6. Mr. Mohammad Abbas,  
Deputy Secretary,  
Works Division,  
Islamabad.
7. Mr. Taseer Hussain Kardar,  
Officer on Special Duty,  
M/O Food & Agriculture,  
Co-opted Member, Cat.V allottees.

8. Dr. Mohammad Rasool Khan,  
Director General,  
Housing Foundation, Islamabad.

N.O.O.

Copy forwarded for information and necessary action

to:-

1. Mr. Moin Ahmed Siddiqi,  
Deputy Director General,  
Housing Foundation, Islamabad.
2. Mr. Shahid Hameed,  
Director(Tech-I),  
Housing Foundation, Islamabad.
3. Mr. Qamar Shah Khan,  
Director(Tech-II),  
Housing Foundation, Islamabad.
4. Mr. Imtiaz Ahmad Khan,  
Director (Finance & Admn ),  
Housing Foundation, Islamabad.
5. Mr. Mohammad Ali Shah,  
Assistant Director(E-II),  
Housing Foundation, Islamabad.
6. Mr. Danishyar Ali,  
Assistant Director(A&C),  
Housing Foundation, Islamabad.
7. Malik Zafar Abbas,  
Law Officer,  
Housing Foundation, Islamabad.

  
( Imtiaz Ahmad Khan )  
Director (Finance & Administration)

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES HOUSING FOUNDATION  
SHAHEED-E-MILLAT SECRETARIAT  
ISLAMABAD  
\*\*\*\*\*

Subject:- MINUTES OF THE 39TH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE  
HELD ON 16TH FEBRUARY, 1994.

-----

The 39th meeting of the Executive Committee of the Board of Governors of the Federal Government Employees Housing Foundation was held on 16th February, 1994 at 10.00 AM in the Committee Room of the Works Division under the chairmanship of its Chairman. The list of the participants of the meeting is appended.

2. The Chairman welcomed the participants of the meeting and asked the Director General, Housing Foundation to open the proceedings. The meeting started with recitation from Holy Quran by the Director General and, thereafter, the items on agenda were taken up one by one.

Item No.i - Confirmation of the minutes of the last meeting.

3. The minutes were confirmed.

Item No.ii - Action taken on the decisions of the last meeting.

4. Director General explained that actions on all the decisions of last meeting had been duly taken. The meeting was informed that a reminder was issued to CDA about standardization of water connection charges for different categories of houses and for review of unjustified demand of charges for underground water used by contractors. As a result of this, water charges were being levied at standard rates and there was no demand for underground water charges by the CDA. Mr. Shahid Hameed, Director Technical-I had been holding frequent meetings with concerned authorities for expeditious provision of services etc. in G-11 and I-8 sectors. The revised formula for surcharge approved in the last meeting was introduced in place of the existing one. The loan agreement with N.H.A. was signed and the amount of loan of Rs.25(M) was disbursed to Housing Foundation out of which Rs.22.5(M) was paid to Peshawar Development Authority (PDA) towards cost of land for the scheme at Peshawar. The meeting was also informed that the P.D.A had asked

the Foundation to intimate its requirements about sizes and number of plots and that the exercise for working out the requirement of plots of standard sizes categorywise was in hand. At this point the Chairman enquired as to where the balance of Rs.2.5 (M) out of loan from N.H.A. had been lying and on disclosure that it was lying in P.L.S. Account of Foundation with N.B.P., 'B' Block, Islamabad, he observed that it was not a good option and that the balance amount alongwith the amount relating to Phase-I of the Scheme invested in STD should have been invested into schemes floated by some banks carrying 16% interest. He directed that a Sub Committee comprising by F.A. (Works), J.S. (Works) and Director (F&A) of the Housing Foundation be constituted to resolve the bottlenecks in the way of such investments in Bank schemes and submit recommendations/proposals for consideration of the Executive Committee in its next meeting.

Item No. iii - Review of progress regarding completion and handing over of houses on ownership basis, Phase-I, Islamabad.

5. Director General gave a brief report on progress of work in Phase-I of the scheme and pointed out that originally the work of construction of 1791 houses had been awarded in January, 1991 but due to affectees problems work on 1595 houses only including 3 plots of extension was being carried out. It was explained that the completion of the houses had been delayed on account of a number of factors and in consideration of some of these factors the contractors were granted extension in time upto December, 1992/January, 1993. However except for two contractors satisfactory progress was not shown by others. The matter was considered at different forums and ultimately it was decided to allow ad hoc advances to contractors against Bank Guarantees to resolve their cash flow problems to enable them to complete the houses within 90-120 days of the disbursement of advances. Six of the contractors (8 package - M/s Redco having 3 package) took the advance and were working on schedule. Three of the contractors did not take the advance but had given schedule for construction by April, 1994 and were working on it. The other six contractors had filed suit in a court of law, the decision on which was yet to come but the contractors seemed to be inclined on compromise. As for

physical progress the Director General explained that 736 houses had already been completed, or substantially completed on 'As is where is basis', 549 houses were scheduled to be completed by April, 1994 and 310 houses with the belligerent contractors would be completed thereafter in accordance with the situation arising out of the decision of the court. At this stage the Chairman enquired about the position of the court cases in response to which the Law Officer explained that the claim of contractors amounted to Rs. 30 crores but in view of the Arbitration clauses in the Agreement the Foundation's position was strong. The arguments had been completed and on the eve of last hearing the advocate of the contractors filed an application that their clients were willing to complete the work provided the cheque for advance was given to the court. The Legal Advisor of the Foundation did not agree to the submissions made in the said application and intended to file a reply in the court. The Chairman desired that the Legal Advisor be asked to request the court for an early decision. On a query by Secretary Works about the stage of completion of work by the 6 contractors the Director General explained that structure had been built, roof laid and civil works had been done and in terms of percentage only 15% work remained yet to be done. The position regarding provision of services was then explained in detail. On a query by Joint Secretary, Cabinet Division, regarding the position of affectees problem, the Director Technical-I of Foundation explained that out of 200 allottees 118 had taken possession of and 18 of them had started construction. The Chairman observed that the matter could be resolved completely if CDA wanted it and evidently CDA was not cooperating. He directed that representatives of CDA at the level of Member should invariably be invited in future meetings for items concerning them.

Item-iv - Review of Progress of Housing Scheme on Ownership Basis - Phase-I Extension and Phase-II at Islamabad and at other stations i.e., Lahore, Peshawar, Karachi.

6. Director General Housing Foundation explained that in accordance with the recommendations of Wafaqi Mohtasib as upheld by

the President 1211 allottees of Phase-I Extension and 199 of disputed plots were called upon to give options for construction under their own arrangements. Initially only 26 of them opted for construction by the Foundation but the number subsequently came down to 8. The moneys deposited by all the allottees towards cost of construction had been returned to them with mark up. The Chairman enquired as to what was the role of Foundation now to which J.S., Cabinet Division replied that there was no role of Foundation after Phase-II because according to the new policy 87% of plots were to be disposed of in open market and 10% through Land Board which left nothing for the scheme of the Foundation. At this stage the Chairman was pleased to observe that it was time the Housing Foundation started working as a Company in the true sense and redefined its role. He desired that it should henceforth adopt the role of development and redevelopment of land after procuring the same from public and private sectors for both residential and commercial purposes like other Companies/Societies functioning as developers and builders. These activities, he stated, should include provision of infrastructure and construction of flats, where feasible. The Director General informed the meeting that infrastructure was already being developed by the Foundation for its Housing Scheme at Karachi. He also stated that land in Zone-V at Islamabad could be purchased and developed for its schemes on the lines suggested by the Chairman.

#### PHASE-II.

7. Director General pointed out that out of 2825 plots earmarked by CDA for Phase-II of the scheme of Housing Foundation, offers of allotment for 2625 had already been issued and that for the remaining about 200 plots mostly reserved for widows and orphans, a summary to Prime Minister had been submitted for clarification of certain points before allotment to widows and orphan could be made. The balloting for plots was however yet to be made. On a query by F.A. Works about what were the reasons for delay, the D.G. explained that the balloting could be done but the former Chairman had observed that it might be done after the allotments of the remaining 200 plots were completed.

## SCHEME AT LAHORE

8. The D.G. H.F. explained that when the scheme at Lahore had been prepared the Government of Punjab and LDA were approached who expressed their inability to provide land for the scheme. The possibility of purchase of land from private sector was therefore explored. The cost of such land worked out to Rs.126,000/- per kanal for non-developed land and Rs.430,000/- for developed land. It was felt that if it was purchased the scheme could not compete in the market. He explained that in Islamabad there was no such problem because the cost at which the CDA provided land for the scheme was much lower than that in the private sector or market e.g. cost of plot of one kanal originally was Rs.150,000/- in Phase-I and currently Rs.480,000/- as against Rs.1,300,000 to 1,400,000 in the market. The proposal for purchase of land at Lahore from private parties was, therefore, dropped. The Chairman enquired whether state land was available at Lahore to which D.G. Housing Foundation replied that LDA had been tried but without success.

## SCHEME AT PESHAWAR

9. D.G. HF stated that the scheme at Peshawar was approved in principle by the Board of Governor with the directions to pursue the matter with Peshawar Development Authority (PDA) to make available suitable piece of land for this purpose. The PDA made available 500 kanals of land in Regi Lalmai Hayatabad Extension Scheme. For the payment of cost of land pending recovery from the prospective allottees a loan of Rs.25 (M) had been obtained from National Housing Authority out of which Rs.22.5 (M) was paid to the PDA towards cost of land. It was intimated to the committee that it would take 3 - 4 years in development of land there. It was decided that scheme at Peshawar may be prepared and floated.

## KARACHI SCHEME.

10. The Committee was informed that Phase-I of the scheme at Karachi was floated in 1992 after the land had been purchased from Government of Sind but due to poor response it was kept in abeyance. However, due to efforts made the cost of land was reduced from Rs.150/- to Rs.50/- per sq. yd. and it was decided to launch first phase of the scheme in KDA scheme NO.33 for the

existing 177 applicants. The allotments had been made. The Project Director had been appointed and arrangements for appointing subordinate staff was being made. Proposal for appointment of consultant from the already prequalified consultant had been received and was examined by the technical committee of the Housing Foundation who decided that in the first instance options from the allottees may be asked about construction under their own arrangements. It was observed by the Chairman that in this way scope of work would be considerably reduced and most probably it would be the provision for infrastructure for which the appointment of consultants would be needed. He also directed that the possibility of engaging PEPAC and Nespak etc. for the work should be explored. D.G. Housing Foundation explained that their rates were high and they tend not to reduce them in spite of the fact that they were not getting work. At this juncture J.S. Cabinet Division stated that the Foundation should in future engage itself for development of infrastructure only. In reply to this D.G. again explained that in Zone V Islamabad about 2500 acres of land is available and a scheme may be prepared. The Chairman observed that the Foundation could obtain land from the private sector and develop it like other societies.

Item No. v - Grant of permission for transfer/sale of houses by allottees of Federal Government Employees Housing Foundation.

11. It was explained that under the provision in brochure the allottees could not transfer or sell their houses to any one till completion of the houses and prior written permission of the Executive Committee was to be obtained for it after completion. A number of completed/substantially completed houses had already been handed over to the allottees and some of them were coming to Foundation after obtaining completion report from CDA with request to grant permission for transfer/sale of their houses. In this connection it was stated that the Legal Adviser, who had been consulted, advised that the issue of a formal transfer deed to the allottees would involve complications and that since the plots

already stood transferred by CDA in the name of respective allottees, the possession certificate issued by the Foundation at the time of handing over of the plots would suffice. Permission for transfer could, therefore, be given to the respective allottees on the proforma cleared by the Legal Adviser on receipt of requests from them. It was proposed that since the grant of permission did not involve any policy matter, the powers for grant of permission may be delegated to Director General. The Chairman observed that if the permission had to be issued on the completion of prescribed conditions and formalities it could better be done by CDA itself for which general letter might be issued to CDA in this regard. In the discussion that followed it was considered that even if the CDA was given a general permission to do it would as a matter of course want clearance from Housing Foundation in the first instance. It was decided that since there already existed a provision for the grant of permission by Foundation in the Brochure the permission may be issued by Foundation and D.G. may be given powers to grant the said permission.

Item No. vi - Extension of time for completion of houses.

12. Deputy Director General (Housing Foundation) explained that the options from allottees of Phase-I extension and of disputed plots for construction under their own arrangements were called for in February, 1993. In case of construction under their own arrangements the allottees were required to complete their houses within two years starting from the date of obtaining possession of land or 4 months of the date of issue of option letters whichever was earlier. In other words the allottees were to complete their houses by June, 1995 at the latest. Many of the allottees being posted abroad or because of some genuine difficulties had not been able to obtain possession of their land so far. They would, therefore, not be able to complete their

houses by the target date. It was, therefore, suggested that the period of 4 months might be extended to one year and further extension may be granted by D.G. upto one year in special cases. The Joint Secretary, Cabinet Division, stated that CDA had also extended the time of completion from 2 years to 3 years and as such the same could be done by the Foundation also. The Chairman directed that a period of three years for completion of houses may be allowed from the date of possession.

Item No. vii - Notices to Defaulters.

13. It was explained that the allottees of Phase-I whose houses were under construction were not paying the prescribed instalments on due date. At one time the default was to the tune of Rs.135 (M). It was, therefore, decided to issue notices to the defaulters through press to pay their dues by 15th October, 1993 otherwise their allotment was liable to be cancelled. In spite of the Notice the result was not encouraging. Instead of paying the dues some of the allottees had applied for extension in time for deposit and others had raised a number of issues e.g. withdrawal of increase in prices, payments of compensation for delays, payment of interest on the amount deposited by them. Some of the allottees had appealed that their houses may be hired by Government and possession of their houses might be given to them only after their dues had been recovered from the rent of their houses. Since the time for deposit of dues was fixed as 15th October, 1993, the extension in time could not be allowed without the approval of Executive Committee. The F.A. Works suggested that the time for deposit of the dues may be extended upto next 3 months. As for hiring of houses it was considered that it was not feasible and adjustment of dues was also not possible because the amount of rent of houses was very small to admit of such adjustment. The Chairman observed that the position should be worked out properly and legal notices might be given to the allottees who failed to clear their dues within extended time. Deputy Director General pointed out that the allottees were expecting some directions from Prime Minister's Secretariat for some relief which would ease the problem of allottees. At this stage the Chairman wanted to know the amount

invested by Foundation and when it was explained that 100 (M) was invested in STD, he emphasised that the investment ought to be made in bank schemes carrying 16% interest. He also observed that the investments were reported earlier as being of few million only where as it was said to be 100 million now. It was explained that the few million spoken of earlier represented enlistment fees and amounts received from sale of brochure. It was decided that three months extension in time may be given to the allottees after which legal proceeding against them might be taken.

Item No. viii - Recovery of consultancy charges from contractors.

14. It was explained that the original agreement with the consultants expired on 28th February, 1993 after which they were engaged under a new agreement under which a sum of Rs.3,37,000/- per month was being paid to them for their services for the extended period. The payments so made were being recovered from the contractors in the ratio of their unfinished work as of 28th February, 1993. Recently contractors of 8 packages had been allowed ad hoc advance payments for the completion of their work within a prescribed schedule, after the signing of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). The provision of MOU provided inter-alia that the punitive actions, if any, would remain suspended after the signing of MOU. As such, it was to be decided whether consultancy charges falling to the share of such contractors were to be recovered from them or not. It was considered that the punitive action was only suspended and not withdrawn altogether and as such the recovery could be made later on. It was also considered that the employment of consultant should be pressed down to some schedule. It was explained by D.G. that due to litigation by 6 contractors the actual date of completion of project could not be determined. The D.G. added that at one time it was felt necessary to get rid of consultants but due to certain problems it could not be done. One of the problems he said was that the responsibilities for supervision and verification rested with the consultants and that it could not be done by Foundation with only 4 or 5 technical persons on roll against the 40 technical persons engaged by the consultants. He also explained that for the extended period of

contract the staff of the consultants had been reduced and the amount of remuneration to them was based on the reduced staff. It was argued that with the reduction in the volume of work, because of completion of work by two contractors and expected completion by others in the near future, the scope of work was going to be reduced gradually. The reduction in staff and remuneration of consultants could therefore be made on a sliding scale. D.G. explained that it was not possible because the task of verification and finalization of measurements etc. was to be performed by the consultants after completion of work by contractors. The Chairman directed that the matter might be examined by Director General (Housing Foundtion) and Mr. Rafiq, D.G. Pak PWD to fix time for completion of assignment by consultants..

Item No. ix - Housing Scheme for Federal Government Employees on ownership basis at Islamabad (Phase-II) - Question of eligibility of Flat owners.

15. D.D.G. explained that in the Phase-I of the scheme the flat owners were considered ineligible for the scheme although there was no specific provision in the Brochure to this effect. In Phase-II it was clearly provided that flat owners would not be eligible/entitled for allotment of houses under the scheme. It was pointed out that a number of flat owners had been agitating against their dis-qualification for the allotment of houses/plots on ownership basis on a number of grounds, amongst which the main being that CDA rules did not dis-qualify a flat owner from allotment of a plot in Islamabad. It was also explained that the matter was placed before Executive Committee in its meeting on 21st August, 1993 but the committee did not agree to the allotment of houses/plots to flat owners in the existing phase. The committee, however, observed that the matter might be considered for future phase of the scheme. The Chairman observed that strictly speaking one or two room flats could not be taken as a house and as such the matter deserved sympathetic consideration. D.S. (Works), however, stated that the scheme aimed at providing shelter to the shelterless people and the flat owners could not be taken at par with those persons who did not have any accommodation of their own to live in. It was also observed that if flat owners were given

house, people having one or two room houses would be amongst the agitators. The Chairman directed that a special committee consisting of D.G. Pak PwD, Joint Engineering Adviser and F.A., Works might be constituted to examine the matter in details and submit its recommendations for consideration in the meeting of the Executive Committee.

Item No.x - Allotment of a Category-I house to Mr. Abdul Hameed, Member Prime Minister's Inspection Commission.

16. Deputy Director General (HF) informed the meeting that Mr. Abdul Hameed of Prime Minister's Inspection Commission had declared in his application for allotment of a Category-I house in Phase-II that his wife owned a house in Gulberg, Lahore, but his wife, although not divorced, had been living separately for the last 10 years. Further, she had filed a suit for 'Khula' which was going to be decreed in her favour. As such, the house owned by her had no concern with him and was not available for his use. The committee after detailed discussion observed that the case was deserving and agreed to the proposal for allotment of a house/plot of his entitlement to Mr. Abdul Hameed.

Item No. xi - Allotment of a Category-I House to Mr. Saad Ashraf, Joint Secretary, Establishment Division.

17. The applicant who had requested to be heard in person in the meeting of the Executive Committee pleaded during hearing that his wife was not a dependent member of his family and as such her ownership of a house could not make him ineligible for allotment of a house/plot in Foundation's scheme. He stressed his point by referring to the relevant provision in the Government Servants Conduct Rules, 1964 according to which a wife not wholly dependent on her husband was excluded from the purview of family member of the husband. He further stated that the clarification made at S.No.16, Page 441 clause (c) of the Estacode which provided that in case the construction of a house was financed by wife from her independent source of income, no permission from Government was

needed gave support to his view point. The Chairman observed that his point was well taken and desired that the matter might be referred to Law Division for their views.

Item No. xii - Cancellation of provisional allotment letter issued to Mr. Mohammad Sharif Butt, Cash Overseer, Pakistan Post Office Department.

18. Deputy Director General (HF) explained that as reported by one Mr. Mushtaq Hussain the plot allotted to Mr. Mohammad Sharif Butt in Phase-I had been purchased by him and the Power of Attorney and agreement had been registered in the court at Jhelum. Mr. Mohammad Sharif Butt was therefore asked to explain his position. The explanation given by him was referred to our Legal Adviser for advice. The Legal Adviser advised that the explanation of the allottee was not plausible and that notice for cancellation may be issued if deemed appropriate administratively. The committee agreed that notice for cancellation may be issued.

Item No. xiii - Case of allotment of Category-II plot to Mr. Ahmad Ibrahim Faridi in compliance with the recommendation of the Wafaqi Mohtasib.

19. Deputy Director General (HF) briefly recounted the facts of case that Mr. Ahmad Ibrahim Faridi, while employed as Director in the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting applied for allotment of Category-II house in Phase-I of the Housing Scheme in 1988. His case, however, did not mature for allotment and he withdrew the seed money deposited by him. He retired before the launching of Phase-II of the scheme and applied for allotment of a house of his entitlement in Phase-II as a retired Government servant. Since he had withdrawn his seed money deposited in Phase-I he was treated as fresh applicant for Phase-II and his case did not mature for allotment in Phase-II either because of having been placed for seniority with the retired Government servants. Mr. Faridi approached the Wafaqi Mohtasib with the contention that since the Foundation had issued a letter on 3-2-1991 to the effect that his application would be considered in Phase-II, he should be

given preference over others. The Wafaqi Mohtasib held that because of the letter the complainant remained hopeful for allotment in Phase-II and, therefore, his recommendation was that his case should be placed before Executive Committee for consideration. The matter was considered by the committee and it was decided that since he had withdrawn his seed money of Phase-I, he was out of priority list and, therefore, he could not be given preference over others.

Item No. xiv - Wafaqi Mohtasib's recommendation for allotment of a house to Mr. Munawar Khan, Accountant Law & Justice Division.

20. Deputy Director General (HF) narrating the facts of the case stated that Mr. Munawar Khan had applied for allotment of a category-IV house in Phase-II. Subsequently he was medically boarded out from service w.e.f. 8th February, 1993 and had been declared permanently incapacitated. His date of birth being 2-4-1956 his case did not mature for allotment on the criteria of age-wise seniority. He approached Wafaqi Mohtasib who recommended the case for allotment on compassionate grounds. A proposal to treat the date of retirement of Mr. Munawar Khan as the date of superannuation for the purpose of allotment of house was put up to the Executive Committee in its 38th meeting but it was not agreed to. It was directed that a review petition may be submitted to Wafaqi Mohtasib against his decision to allot a house to Mr. Munawar Khan. The review petition was submitted and in his findings on the review petition the Wafaqi Mohtasib observed that his recommendations were made on compassionate grounds because due to unfortunate pre-mature retirement the complainant acquired the point of seniority in his favour. He further observed that it should not have repercussion and it would not be made a precedent. The committee decided that Mr. Munawar Khan might be given a plot/house.

Item No. xv - Any other item with the permission of the Chair - waiver of surcharge on delayed payment in case of death of allottee.

21. It was explained that Mrs. Nafisa Khan widow of Late Sajjad Ali Khan, Director General Registration, allottee of House No.375 had made a request to the Foundation that in view of the death of her husband the surcharge leviable on delayed payment of instalments in respect of his house should be waived off altogether. The actual amount of surcharge on delayed payment in respect of the house of her late husband calculated on the basis of rates approved originally worked out to Rs.2,10,070/-. However, according to the formula revised subsequently it was reduced to Rs.1,45,576/-. It was further reduced to Rs.53,285/- under the formula approved by the committee in its 38th meeting. The amount of surcharge had thus already been reduced to the bare minimum. The matter was, however, placed before the Executive Committee for consideration as a general issue. The committee after consideration regretted its inability to agree to the waiver of the surcharge.

22. The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the chair.

FEDERAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES HOUSING FOUNDATION  
SHAHEED-E-MILLAT SECRETARIAT

\*\*\*

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS OF 39TH MEETING OF THE  
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS  
HELD ON 16.2.1994.

1. Mr. Ijaz Ahmed,  
Secretary,  
M/O Housing & Works. Chairman
2. Mr. Abdul Rashid Khan Baloch,  
Joint Secretary(Works),  
M/O Housing & Works. Vice-Chairman
3. Mr. Muhammad Rafique Ahmed,  
Director General,  
Pak. P.W.D. Islamabad. Member
4. Mr. Yawar Zia,  
Financial Advisor(Works). Member
5. Mr. Mohammad Aslam,  
Joint Secretary,  
Cabinet Division. Member
6. Mr. Mohammad Abbas,  
Deputy Secretary,  
Works Division. Member
7. Mr. Taseer Husain Kardar,  
Officer on Special Duty,  
M/O Food & Agriculture,  
Co-opted Member, Cat.V allottees Co-opted Member
8. Dr. Mohammad Rasool Khan,  
Director General,  
Housing Foundation.
9. Mr. Moin Ahmed Siddiqi,  
Deputy Director General,  
Housing Foundation.
10. Mr. Shahid Hameed,  
Director(Tech-I),  
Housing Foundation.
11. Mr. Qamar Shah Khan,  
Director(Tech-II),  
Housing Foundation.
12. Mr. Imtiaz Ahmad Khan,  
Director (F/A),  
Housing Foundation.